Completed and Signed Screening Templates are public documents posted on the Trust's website

- All policies / proposals require an equality screening
- Policy / Proposal authors / decision makers are responsible for Equality Screenings

(1.1)	Name of the policy/proposal	Competency Based protocols for Child health care assistants carifor children with complex physical healthcare needs Reference No: SG 01/12						caring
(1.2)	Status of policy/proposal (please underli	ne)	Ne	eW	Exi	sting	Revis	sed
(1.3)	Department/Service Group: (please underline)	Corporate Services Group (Please specify)	Nursing and User Experience	Un- scheduled and Acute Care	Surgery & Specialist Services	Specialist Hospitals & Women's Health	Children's Community Services	Adult Social & Primary Care
(1.4)	Description of the policy/ proposal? State the aims and objectives/key elements of the policy/proposal. Detail the changes the policy/proposal will introduce. How will the policy/proposal be communicated to staff /service users? Describe how the policy/proposal will be rolled out/put into practice e.g. will there be changes in working patterns / changes to how services will be delivered etc.	Care Needs strategic dire healthcare in subgroup was at the education complex phy protocols for protocols has BHSCT. Scope: This the contents	d: The Region was established in the Region on all relateds. Following established in the Region and training which was established in the CHCA has been agreed a policy should in page.	ed in 2008, particular sevant issues read a recomment of Spring 2009 of state of seeds in the december of and minor additional seeds by CH	t of the groups elating to child dation by the The group ha ff, parents and r home or cor ped as part of aptations mad	s remit was to place and young RIG an Educa as met regularly carers, caring mmunity setting this process to de to support of the protocols for the protocols	provide advice people with contion and Workf y since May 20 for children was Regional stated the sub ground the sub ground practice procedures as procedures	and omplex force 1009 to look with ndardised up. The within s listed on

		delegated by a Registered Nurse. Objectives: To ensure the CHCA has the skills and ability to carry out procedures as delegated by a Registered Nurse.
		Roles and Responsibilities: As recommended by the NMC (2018), the delegation of nursing or midwifery care must always take place in the best interests of the patient or client. The decision to delegate must always be based on an assessment of the individual patient or client's needs and in accordance with the 'Delegation Framework' (NIPEC 2019).
		The Registered Nurse is accountable for the appropriateness of the delegated care and ensuring that the CHCA has the knowledge skills and competence to undertake the delegated work. The Registered Nurse remains professionally accountable for any aspect of care he/she delegates (NMC 2018).
		The CHCA is accountable for accepting the delegated task as well as being responsible for his/her action of carrying it out. He/she should ensure that he/she has undertaken the appropriate training and supervised practice to demonstrate individual competency and confidence.
		Communication of changes: Policy will be communicated to staff through emails: team meetings: staff briefings and the reviewed policy will eventually replace the policy on the HUB. The policy once rolled out will be a continuum of best practice already in place. The changes will be communicated with staff as above.
		Proposed changes in this policy will not impact on how working patterns or services will be delivered.
(1.5)	Who owns the policy/proposal? Where does it originate? For example: DoH / HSCB	Director, Specialist Hospitals, Women's and Childrens Health Services & Dentistry Directorate, BHSCT
(1.6)		Main stakeholders:
	affected (Internal and External)? For example: actual or potential service users, carers, staff, other public sector organisations, trade unions, professional bodies, independent, voluntary or	Staff: (Registered Nurse, Child Health Care Assistant (CHCA)), parents and carers caring for children with complex physical healthcare needs in their home or community setting.

community sector or others.	
(1.7) Provide details of how you involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users, staff side or other stakeholders when screening this Policy/proposal.	Consultation Process: Protocols have been developed and widely consulted and agreed by members of the Education and Workforce Subgroup of the Regional Inter-Agency Implementation Group for Children with Complex Health Care Needs as listed within the additional authors section. Protocols have been agreed for use within BHSCT by the clinical coordinator, education consultant and team leader within Community Child Health.
(1.8) Other policies/strategies with a bearing on this policy/proposal For example: internal or regional policies	Policy / Guideline description: Protocols for procedures which can be performed by the CHCA are included as appendices and listed under the procedures section of this policy. This policy will be implemented within the Community Childrens Nursing Team in the BHSCT. Please see enclosed reference section in the policy. References including relevant external guidelines: Nursing & Midwifery Council (2018) NMC issues new advice for delegation to non-regulated healthcare staff. London: Nursing & Midwifery Council. Nursing & Midwifery Council (2018) The code, Standards of conduct, performance and ethics for nurses and midwives. London: Nursing & Midwifery Council. NIPEC Delegation Framework: Deciding to Delegate (2019).
(1.9) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal? For example: Financial, legislative	No. Belfast Trust is committed to the full implementation of this policy and through regular monitoring it is anticipated that the aims and objectives of the policy will be fully realised and any factors that could detract from those aims and objectives will be minimised/avoided. However, influencing factors regarding the full implementation of the policy include: • Lack of staff training and awareness of the policy • Demanding workloads • Staffing capacity • Poor understanding of the policy by staff, patients, relatives/carers.

Section 2: Classification of the Policy / Proposal

- The purpose of this Section is to consider the policy/proposal in terms of its relevance and likely impact (actual/potential) on equality of opportunity, disability duties, good relations and human rights.
- To determine the impact (actual and potential) of a policy/procedure on equality of opportunity, disability duties, good relations and human rights please complete the screening questions at 2.1 2.6.

Screening Questions	Yes	No
(2.1) Is there an impact on Equality of Opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the S75* equality categories?		NO
(2.2) Are there better opportunities to promote equality of opportunity for people within the S75 categories?		NO
(2.3) Does the policy impact upon Good Relations between people of a different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?		NO
(2.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of a different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?		NO
(2.5) Are there opportunities to encourage Disabled People to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes toward disabled people? (Disability Duties)		NO
(2.6) Does the policy/proposal impact on Human Rights?		NO
*S75 equality categories include: Age, Dependent Status, Disability, Gender, Marital Status Ethnicity, Religion, Political Opinion and Sexual Orientation.		IVO

Screening Statement

• If you have answered **Yes** to <u>any</u> of the above questions complete **Sections 3 - 9.** <u>OR</u>

 If you have answered No to <u>all</u> of the above questions the policy may be screened out - go to Screening Statement at 2.7. 								
I.B: All Staff must complete their mandatory equality, good relations and human rights training once every five years. This can be booked in HRPTS or completed online at www.hsclearning.com . The online programme is called 'Making a Difference'. Belfast Trust Staff can also complete or completed online at www.hsclearning.com . The online programme is called 'Making a Difference'. Belfast Trust Staff can also complete or complete online at www.hsclearning.com . The online programme is called 'Making a Difference'. Belfast Trust Staff can also complete or complete online at www.hsclearning.com . The online programme is called 'Making a Difference'. Belfast Trust Staff can also complete or comple								
(2.7) Screening Statement :								
This policy / proposal is 'screened	out' on the basis that: (please	tick)						
It is a purely clinical or technical disability duties, good related		nce or impact (actual / potential) in terms of e	equality of opportunity,					
$\sqrt{}$ It aims to standardise practic	e and / or achieve best praction	ce based on current evidence.						
Reasonable adjustments w	ill be made for patients/service	e users as required including any information						
e.g. leaflets / letters in accessible/al	ternative formats							
has a learning disability or is visually click Making Communication Access	y impaired. For advice on massible guidance. In addition, er should be provided and writ	rmation in easy to read formats or audio formation information accessible and inclusive for disting information accessible and inclusive for distinct a patient/service user does not speak English ten information should be translated as approp	sabled patients/service users, n as his/her first language, an					
Approved Lead Officer: Position: Date:	Nora Mc Cann Clinical Co-ordinator Community Childrens Nursing Childrens Community Services. Sandra Lemon Nursing Development Lead Childrens Community Services	Countersigned by*: Planning & Equality Team: Date:	Lesley Jamieson 29/3/21					

6-8-2020	
Grainne McDermott	
29/03/2021	

Please sign / date and forward to the Equality and Planning Team for consideration - Lesley.Jamieson@belfasttrust.hscni.net.

*Equality screenings are completed with information provided by the policy / proposal author subject to advice and assistance provided by the Trust's Equality Managers.

Section 3: Consideration of Equality and Good Relations Issues and Evidence Used

This section records the quantitative and qualitative data you have used to consider equality and good relations issues including:

- The assessment of impact on staff and service users
- The identification of mitigation factors to reduce/remove any adverse impact
- Opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity

Evidence to help inform the screening process may be quantitative and qualitative. For example: previous consultations and equality impact assessments (eqias), statistics, research, complaints, feedback, referrals, grievances, inspection reports, focus groups, user groups etc.

(3.1) Quantitative and Qualitative Data: Service Users

SERVICE	USERS			
Equality Category	Service Users	Quantitative I (2011 Census otherwise state Belfast / Castlereagh population	Data unless	Qualitative Data (Needs, Experiences, Priorities)

1. Age	0-15	22%	
J	16-24	11%	
	25-34	12%	
	35-44	14%	
	45-54	14%	
	55-64	12%	
	65+	15%	
2.	Caring for a child	12% of	
Dependent	dependant older	usually	
Status	person/ person with	resident	
	a disability	population	
	·	provide	
		unpaid care -	
		36% of whom	
		are male and	
		64% are	
		female	
3.	Yes	21%	
Disability	No	79%	
4. Gender	Female	49%	
	Male	51%	
5. Marital	Married/Civil P'ship	34.21%	
Status	Single	46.6%	
	Other/Not known	19.19%	

6. Race Ethnicity	White Black/Minority Ethnic	98% 2%	
7. Religion	Roman Catholic	41%	
	Presbyterian Church of Ireland Methodist Other Christian	42%	
	Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other None	17%	
8. Political Opinion Based on Council seats on Belfast City Council, October 2017. Excludes Castlereagh	DUP SF SDLP UUP APNI Green PBP IND PUP	Based on Council seats on Belfast City Council * Excludes Castlereagh	

		13 19 4 6 8 1 1 5	
9. Sexual Orientation	Opposite sex Same sex Same and Opposite sex Do not wish to answer /Not known	Estimated 6- 10% of persons identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual Source: 2012 report by Disability Action & Rainbow Project	

(3.3) Quantitative and Qualitative Data: Staff

This information will be provided together with analysis and advice by the Employment Equality Team in the Human Resources department.

Quantitative Data: For staff data please contact Martin McGrath on 028 95 048353 / martin.mcgrath@belfasttrust.hscni.net

Qualitative Data: Consideration will be given to the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the categories in relation to the policy / proposal.

Should any equality / modernisation related issues arise they will be managed through the Organisational Change Framework. Click here for Framework

When organisational / policy change is necessary, regardless of whether it is a permanent or temporary change, the Trust is committed to treating staff fairly and equitably. Staff can be assured that the change process will be managed. This includes consultation with staff and the opportunity for staff to discuss in one to one meetings, any adverse equality impacts resulting in changes to their employment.

This framework also works alongside other Human Resources policies including for example the Disability and Reasonable Adjustment Framework, the Work Life Balance Policy and Procedure, the Recruitment and Selection Policy and Procedure and Agenda for Change Terms and Conditions Handbook.

Equality	Groups	Quanti	tative Data	Qualitative Data
Category		Belfast	Staff affected	
		Trust	by the	
		workforce	Policy/Proposal	
		(@January 2019)	%	
1.	16-24	4%		
	25-34	24%		
Age	35-44	25%		
	45-54	26%		
	55-64	18%		
	65+	3%		
2.				
Dependant	Dependants	20%		
Status	No Dependants	16%		
	Not known	64%		

3.			
Disability	Yes No Not known	2% 63% 35%	
4.			
Gender	Female Male	77% 23%	
5.			
Marital Status	Married/ Civil P'ship Single Other/ Not known	52% 32% 16%	
6. Race			
a) Ethnicity	BME White Not Known	4% 72% 25%	
b) Nationality	GB Irish Northern Irish Other Not known	18% 11% 2% 1% 68%	

7. Religion				
a) Community Background	Protestant Roman Catholic Neither	40% 49% 11%		
b)			 	
Religious Belief	Christian Other No religious belief Not known	28% 1% 9% 62%		
8. Political Opinion	Broadly Nationalist Broadly Unionist	6% 7%		
* 2011	Other	8%		
Assembly election	Do not wish to answer/ Unknown Not known	79%		
9. Sexual Orientation	Opposite sex Same sex or both	41%		
Orientation	sexes	2%		
	Do not wish to answer	57%		

Section 4: Consideration of Impacts, Mitigation, Alternative Policies / Proposals

Given the **evidence** gathered in Section 3 please identify for each of the **nine equality categories** the level **of impact, mitigation measures** and **alternative** policies / proposals that better **promote equality of opportunity**.

(4.1) SERVICE USERS				
Equality Category	Le	vel of Imp	act	Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact
	Major	Minor	None	(where Major or Minor Impact identified)
Age				
Dependant Status				
Disability				
Gender				
Marital Status				
Race (Ethnicity)				
Religion				
Political Opinion				
Sexual Orientation				
Multiple Identity e.g. disabled minority ethnic people or young Protestant men.				

Equality Category		Level of Impact			Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the
		Major	Minor	None	severity of the equality impact (where Major or Minor Impact identified)
					(where Major of Millor Impact identified)
Age					
Dependa	nt Status				
Disability	,				
Gender					
Marital St	atus				
Race	Ethnicity				
	Nationality				
Religion	Community Background				
	Religious Belief				
Political (Dpinion				
Sexual Orientation					
Multiple Identity e.g. female staff with caring responsibilities					

Section 5: Good Relations								
Based on the evidence collect	ted in Sec	tion 3 &	4:					
To what extent is the pracial group?	olicy/propo	osal likely	to impac	t Good Relations i.e. between people of different religious belief, political opinion or				
 Are there any addition 	al measui	res that c	ould be su	uggested to ensure the policy or proposal promotes Good Relations?				
Good Relations category	Level of impact			Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact				
	Major	Minor	None	(where Major or Minor Impact identified)				
Religious belief				(miere imajer er immer impaer identimed)				
Political opinion								
Racial group								
Section 6: Disability Dut	ties							
How does the policy / proposa	al:							
 encourage disabled p 	eople to	oarticipat	e in					

dud	lic	life	and
P		••••	G G

• promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?

Consider what **other measures** you could take to meet these **duties**.

For example, have staff received disability equality training.

Section 7: Human Rights

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust is committed to providing the highest attainable standard of health within our resources.

Does the policy/proposal affect human rights in a positive or negative way?

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact (Human Right has been interfered with or restricted)	Neutral impact
A2: Right to life			
A3: Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			

A4: Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or co						
A5: Right to liberty & security of person						
A6: Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time						
A7: Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no p	ounishment without law					
A8: Right to respect for private & family life, home and corre	espondence.					
A9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion						
A10: Right to freedom of expression						
A11: Right to freedom of assembly & association	A11: Right to freedom of assembly & association					
A12: Right to marry & found a family						
A14: Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the co	onvention rights					
1st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of pos	ssessions & protection of					
property						
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education						
Please outline:						
any actions you will take to promote awareness of						
human rights and						
evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making						
processes.						
F. 555555.						

Section 8: Screening Decision	Major	Minor	None
(8.1) How would you categorise the impacts of this policy / proposal? (Please underline one category)	(Screened In for an Equality Impact Assessment)	(Screened Out with mitigation)	(Screened Out)

(8.2) If you have identified you considered to ac	d any impact, what mitigation have ddress this?			
(8.3) Do you consider the subjected to on-going	policy/proposal needs to be ng screening?	Yes	No	Reasons
an Equality Impact NB: A full Equality Impact confined to those policies implications for equality orights.	icy/proposal should be subject to Assessment (EQIA)? Assessment (EQIA) is usually or proposals considered to have major f opportunity/good relations/human	Yes	No	Reasons
policy/proposal for im	ng ou will monitor the effect of the apact in terms of equality of elations, disability duties and			
Equality screenings are Trust's Equality Manage	completed with information provideders.	by the po	olicy / pro	Lesley.Jamieson@belfasttrust.hscni.net. oposal author subject to advice and assistance from the nents and are posted on the Trust's website.
Approved Lead		Counters	signed b	y:

Officer		
Position	Equality Manager	
Date	Employment Equality Manager	