Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights SCREENING TEMPLATE



Completed and Signed Screening Templates are public documents posted on the Trust's website

- All policies / proposals require an equality screening
- Policy / Proposal authors / decision makers are responsible for Equality Screenings

_								
Sect	ion 1: Information about the Policy	/ Proposal						
(1.1)	Name of the policy/proposal	Policy	for the intrave	nous (IV) admi	inistration of a	radolinium has	ed contrast me	edia to
(1.1)	Name of the policy/proposal	•	patients by ima	` '	•	gadominani bas	ca contrast me	dia to
(1.2)	Status of policy/proposal (please underli	ine)	<u>Ne</u>	<u>w</u>	Exi	sting	Revis	ed
(1.3)	Department/Service Group:	Corporate	Nursing and	<u>Un-</u>	Surgery &	Specialist	Children's	Adult
	(please underline)	Services	User	scheduled	Specialist	Hospitals &	Community	Social &
		Group	Experience	and Acute	Services	Women's	Services	Primary
		(Please specify)		<u>Care</u>		Health		Care
(1.4)	Description of the policy/ proposal?	Backgroun	d:					
, ,	State the aims and objectives/key							
	elements of the policy/proposal.	This policy is	s to provide info	rmation for MF	RI staff on the	IV administrat	ion of gadoliniu	ım based
	Detail the changes the policy/proposal	contrast me	dia.					
	will introduce.							
	How will the policy/proposal be	The use of 0	Gadolinium bas	ed contrast age	ents (GBCAs)) has become f	undamental to	MRI and
	communicated to staff /service users?		nds used in dai					
	Describe how the policy/proposal will be		c Systemic Fibr					
	rolled out/put into practice e.g. will there	been found	in patients with	severely impai	ired kidney fu	nction. Recen	tly, gadolinium	retention
	be changes in working patterns /	has been re	ported. As a re	sult, the marke	eting authorisa	ations of some	GBCAs have b	peen
	changes to how services will be delivered	withdrawn fr	om use.					
	etc.							
		Scope:						
		This policy applies to:						
		•		,			raphers) who a	
			intravenous (I	V) gadolinium	based contra	st media to adı	ult patients at E	BHSCT

- Administration should only be carried out by an appropriately entitled/trained Radiologist/Cardiologist or by a Radiographer for those examinations previously agreed by Radiologists/Cardiologist.
- At present, those imaging examinations for which Radiologists/ Cardiologists
 have approved the administration of IV contrast media are listed in each
 department's clinical protocols. Named Radiographers are entitled to
 administer/supply contrast media without prescription in accordance with Patient
 Group Directions (PGD).
- An appropriately trained clinician must be present in the department during the administration to assist with any complications. During core working hours, this will normally be a Radiologist. Local agreements may be in place for alternative medical cover if a Radiologist is not present especially during out of hours scanning.
- This should include out of hours procedures where no Radiologist is on site. The
 referrer will provide medical assistance for the contrast administration out of
 hours. For out of hours procedures the reporting Radiologist will advise which
 protocol is required.
- The BHSCT have contractural employed independent sector Radiologists (e.g. Medica) who will justify the exposure and advise on the imaging protocol required. If these Radiologists will not prescribe contrast and if the patient is excluded under PGD then the Radiographer must refer to an on-call BHSCT radiologist.
- A decision to administer gadolinium based contrast agent must be recorded on the referral or in the request notes on Radiology Information System (RIS).
- Radiographers who are entitled to undertake IV cannulation and medicines administration under this policy may inject the following contrast agents in accordance with department protocols:
 - Gadolinium based contrast agents

	Aims:
	This policy aims to provide information for MRI staff on the potential and theoretical risks of IV administration of GBCAs which must be weighed against the potential benefits to the patient.
	Dissemination:
	Dissemination to Radiographers and Radiologists/Cardiologists within imaging services via Imaging team meetings, and staff induction. Publication of this document will also be included in the fortnightly imaging services newsletter. An electronic copy will be made available imaging staff to access via the imaging services Sharepoint document library.
(1.5) Who owns the policy/proposal? Where does it originate? For example: DoH / HSCB	Director, Unscheduled & Acute Care Directorate, BHSCT.
(1.6) Who are the main stakeholders affected (Internal and External)? For example: actual or potential service users, carers, staff, other public sector organisations, trade unions, professional bodies, independent, voluntary or community sector or others.	Adult service users, MRI Radiologists, MRI Radiographers, MRI Cardiologists.
(1.7) Provide details of how you involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users, staff side or other stakeholders when screening this policy/proposal.	This policy has been developed by the MRI Lead Radiologist BCH, site lead Radiographer BCH/MIH, MRI lead Radiographer BCH and QSI Radiographer. Imaging services Clinical Director, Site lead Radiologists, Imaging services manager, site lead Radiographers and Cardiology were consulted via email and online meetings.
(1.8) Other policies/strategies with a bearing on this policy/proposal For example: internal or regional policies	BHSCT Hospital Medicines Code BHSCT Injectable medicines Code Consent policy Infection control policy

	Evidence Base/references:
	RCR (2019) 'Standard for gadolinium based contrast agent administration to adult patients'
	ESUR Guidelines on Contrast Media v10.0 accessed via http://www.esur.org/guidelines/
	Society and College of Radiographers (2018) "Obtaining consent: a clinical guideline for the diagnostic imaging and radiotherapy workforce" https://www.sor.org/sites/default/files/documentversions/obtaining_consent_170118.pdf.
(4.0) Are there envised that could	NI/A
(1.9) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal? For example: Financial, legislative	N/A. Belfast Trust is committed to the full implementation of this policy and through regular monitoring it is anticipated that the aims and objectives of the policy will be fully realised and any factors that could detract from those aims and objectives will be minimised/avoided.
	However, influencing factors regarding the full implementation of the policy include: • Lack of staff training and awareness of the policy • Demanding workloads • Staffing capacity • Poor understanding of the policy by staff, patients, relatives/carers.
Section 2: Classification of the Policy /	Drew and

Section 2: Classification of the Policy / Proposal

- The purpose of this Section is to consider the policy/proposal in terms of its relevance and likely impact (actual/potential) on equality of opportunity, disability duties, good relations and human rights.
- To determine the impact (actual and potential) of a policy/procedure on equality of opportunity, disability duties, good relations and human rights please complete the screening questions at 2.1 2.6.

Scree	ening Questions	Yes	No
	s there an impact on Equality of Opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the S75* equality categories?		no
` ,	Are there better opportunities to promote equality of opportunity for people within the S75 categories?		no
` ,	Does the policy impact upon Good Relations between people of a different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?		no
` ,	Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of a different eligious belief, political opinion or racial group?		no
` '	Are there opportunities to encourage Disabled People to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes toward disabled people? (Disability Duties)		no
, ,	Does the policy/proposal impact on Human Rights?		no
	quality categories include: Age, Dependent Status, Disability, Gender, Marital Status y, Religion, Political Opinion and Sexual Orientation.		

Screening Statement

- If you have answered Yes to any of the above questions complete Sections 3 9. OR
- If you have answered **No** to <u>all</u> of the above questions the policy may be screened out go to Screening Statement at 2.7.

N.B: All Staff must complete their mandatory equality, good relations and human rights training once every five years. This can be booked via HRPTS or completed online at www.hsclearning.com. The online programme is called 'Making a Difference'. Belfast Trust Staff can also access a suite of equality and diversity training including: disability awareness, human rights and embracing diversity in HSC – please contact Lesley.Jamieson@belfasttrust.hscni.net for more information.

(2.7) Screening Statement :							
This policy / proposal is 'screened o	out' on the basis that: (please	tick)					
$\sqrt{}$ It is a purely clinical or technical disability duties, good relates.		vance or impact (actual / potential) in terms o	of equality of opportunity,				
$\sqrt{}$ It aims to standardise prac	tice and / or achieve best prac	ctice based on current evidence.					
√ Reasonable adjustments accessible/alternative formation	•	vice users as required including any information	n e.g. leaflets / letters in				
has a learning disability or is visually click Making Communication Acces	/ impaired. For advice on massible guidance. In addition,	rmation in easy to read formats or audio formathing information accessible and inclusive for disting information accessible and inclusive for distinct a patient/service user does not speak Englished information should be translated as approp	sabled patients/service users, n as his/her first language, an				
Any other reasons: Please detail.							
Approved Lead Officer:	Sean O Conaire	Countersigned by*:	Lesley Jamieson				
Position: Date:	ate:						
		for consideration - Lesley.Jamieson@belfastti					

*Equality screenings are completed with information provided by the policy / proposal author subject to advice and assistance provided by the Trust's Equality Managers.

Section 3: Consideration of Equality and Good Relations Issues and Evidence Used

This section records the quantitative and qualitative data you have used to consider equality and good relations issues including:

• The assessment of impact on staff and service users

- The identification of mitigation factors to reduce/remove any adverse impact
- Opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity

Evidence to help inform the screening process may be quantitative and qualitative. For example: previous consultations and equality impact assessments (eqias), statistics, research, complaints, feedback, referrals, grievances, inspection reports, focus groups, user groups etc.

(3.1) Quantitative and Qualitative Data: Service Users

Equality Category	Service Users	Quantitative Data (2011 Census Data unless otherwise stated)		Qualitative Data (Needs, Experiences, Priorities)	
		Belfast / Castlereagh population	Service users affected %		
1. Age	0-15 16-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+	22% 11% 12% 14% 14% 12% 15%			
2. Dependent Status	Caring for a child dependant older person/ person with a disability	12% of usually resident population provide unpaid care - 36% of whom are male and			

		64% are female	
3. Disability	Yes No	21% 79%	
4. Gender	Female Male	49% 51%	
5. Marital Status	Married/Civil P'ship Single Other/Not known	34.21% 46.6% 19.19%	
6. Race Ethnicity	White Black/Minority Ethnic	98% 2%	
7. Religion	Roman Catholic	41%	
	Presbyterian Church of Ireland Methodist Other Christian	42%	

	Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other None	17%	
8. Political Opinion Based on Council seats on Belfast City Council, October 2017. Excludes Castlereagh	DUP SF SDLP UUP APNI Green PBP IND PUP	13 19 4 6 8 1 1 5 3 Based on Council seats on Belfast City Council * Excludes Castlereagh	
9. Sexual Orientation	Opposite sex Same sex Same and Opposite sex Do not wish to answer /Not known	Estimated 6- 10% of persons identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual Source: 2012 report by	

Disability Action & Rainbow Project		

(3.3) Quantitative and Qualitative Data: Staff

This information will be provided together with analysis and advice by the Employment Equality Team in the Human Resources department.

Quantitative Data: For staff data please contact Martin McGrath on 028 95 048353 / martin.mcgrath@belfasttrust.hscni.net

Qualitative Data: Consideration will be given to the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the categories in relation to the policy / proposal.

Should any equality / modernisation related issues arise they will be managed through the Organisational Change Framework. Click here for Framework

When organisational / policy change is necessary, regardless of whether it is a permanent or temporary change, the Trust is committed to treating staff fairly and equitably. Staff can be assured that the change process will be managed. This includes consultation with staff and the opportunity for staff to discuss in one to one meetings, any adverse equality impacts resulting in changes to their employment.

This framework also works alongside other Human Resources policies including for example the Disability and Reasonable Adjustment Framework, the Work Life Balance Policy and Procedure, the Recruitment and Selection Policy and Procedure and Agenda for Change Terms and Conditions Handbook.

Equality	Groups	Quanti	tative Data
Category		Belfast	Staff affected
		Trust	by the
		workforce	Policy/Proposal
		(@January	%

		2019)	
1. Age	16-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+	4% 24% 25% 26% 18% 3%	
2.			
Dependant Status	Dependants No Dependants Not known	20% 16% 64%	
3.			
Disability	Yes No Not known	2% 63% 35%	
4.			
Gender	Female Male	77% 23%	
5.			
Marital Status	Married/ Civil P'ship Single Other/ Not known	52% 32% 16%	

6. Race			
a) Ethnicity	BME White Not Known	4% 72% 25%	
b) Nationality	GB Irish Northern Irish Other Not known	18% 11% 2% 1% 68%	
7. Religion a) Community Background	Protestant Roman Catholic Neither	40% 49% 11%	
b) Religious Belief	Christian Other No religious belief Not known	28% 1% 9% 62%	

8. Political Opinion * 2011 Assembly election	Broadly Nationalist Broadly Unionist Other Do not wish to answer/ Unknown Not known	6% 7% 8% 79%		
9. Sexual Orientation	Opposite sex Same sex or both sexes Do not wish to answer	41% 2% 57%		

Section 4: Consideration of Impacts, Mitigation, Alternative Policies / Proposals

Given the **evidence** gathered in Section 3 please identify for each of the **nine equality categories** the level **of impact, mitigation measures** and **alternative** policies / proposals that better **promote equality of opportunity**.

(4.1) SERVICE USERS

Equality Category	Le	vel of Imp	act	Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact
	Major	or Minor No		(where Major or Minor Impact identified)
Age				
Dependant Status				
Disability				
Gender				

Marital Sta	atus				
Race (Eth	nicity)				
Religion					
Political O	pinion				
Sexual Or	ientation				
disabled mi	dentity e.g. inority ethnic oung Protestant				
(4.2) STAF	F				
Equality Category		Level of Impact			
Equality C	ategory	Lev	vel of Imp	act	Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact
Equality C	Category	Major	vel of Imp	None	Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact (where Major or Minor Impact identified)
Equality C	Category				severity of the equality impact
					severity of the equality impact
Age					severity of the equality impact
Age Dependan					severity of the equality impact
Age Dependan Disability	nt Status				severity of the equality impact

	Nationality			
Religion	Community Background			
	Religious Belief			
Political (Opinion			
Sexual O	rientation			
staff with oresponsib	ilities			
		•		

Section 5: Good Relations

Based on the evidence collected in Section 3 & 4:

- To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to **impact Good Relations** i.e. between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?
- Are there any additional measures that could be suggested to ensure the policy or proposal promotes Good Relations?

Good Relations category	Level of impact		act	Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact
	Major Minor None		None	
	_			(where Major or Minor Impact identified)
Religious belief				
_				

Political opinion			
Racial group			
Section 6: Disability Dut	ties		
How does the policy / proposa	اد		

How does the policy / proposal:	
 encourage disabled people to participate in public life and 	
 promote positive attitudes towards disabled people? 	
Consider what other measures you could take to meet these duties .	
For example, have staff received disability equality training.	

Section 7: Human Rights

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust is committed to providing the highest attainable standard of health within our resources.

Does the policy/proposal affect human rights in a positive or negative way?

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact (Human Right has been interfered with or restricted)	Neutral impact
A2: Right to life			
A3: Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			
A4: Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			
A5: Right to liberty & security of person			
A6: Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			
A7: Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			
A8: Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			
A9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			
A10: Right to freedom of expression			
A11: Right to freedom of assembly & association			
A12: Right to marry & found a family			
A14: Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			
1st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of			
property			
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			

Please outline:

any actions you will take to **promote awareness of human rights** *and*

evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

Section 8: Screening Decision	Major	Minor	None		
(8.1) How would you categorise the impacts of this policy / pr (Please underline one category)	(Screened In for an Equality Impact Assessment)	(Screened Out with mitigation)	(Screened Out)		
(8.2) If you have identified any impact, what mitigation have you considered to address this?					
(8.3) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to on-going screening?	Yes	No	Reasons		
 (8.4) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)? NB: A full Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) is usually confined to those policies or proposals considered to have major implications for equality of opportunity/good relations/human rights. 	Yes	No	Reasons		
Section 9: Monitoring (9.1) Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for impact in terms of equality of opportunity, good relations, disability duties and human rights?					

Please sign /date and for	ward to the Equality and Planning Team	for consideration - Lesley.Jamiesor	n@belfasttrust.hscni.net.				
Equality screenings are Trust's Equality Manage	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	by the policy / proposal author s	ubject to advice and assistance from the				
Please note that Comple	eted and Signed Screening Templates	are public documents and are po	osted on the Trust's website.				
Approved Lead Officer		Countersigned by:					
Position		Equality Manager					
Date		Employment Equality Manager					