Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights SCREENING TEMPLATE



Completed and Signed Screening Templates are public documents posted on the Trust's website

- All policies / proposals require an equality screening
- Policy / Proposal authors / decision makers are responsible for Equality Screenings

Sect	ion 1: Information about the Policy	/ Proposal							
(1.1)	Name of the policy/proposal	Guidelines f	uidelines for empirical surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in children 0-16 years in BHSCT						
(1.2)	Status of policy/proposal (please underli	ine)	<u>Ne</u>	<u> </u>	Exi	sting	Revis	ed	
(1.3)	Department/Service Group: (please underline)	Corporate Services Group (Please specify)	Nursing and User Experience	Un- scheduled and Acute Care	Surgery & Specialist Services	Specialist Hospitals & Women's Health	Children's Community Services	Adult Social & Primary Care	
(1.4)	Description of the policy/ proposal? State the aims and objectives/key elements of the policy/proposal. Detail the changes the policy/proposal will introduce. How will the policy/proposal be communicated to staff /service users? Describe how the policy/proposal will be rolled out/put into practice e.g. will there be changes in working patterns / changes to how services will be delivered etc.	This is decide anaesthetic to decide who paediatric an reference. An access. Antibiotic propostoperative The antibiotic pathogens in normal flora.	d: Antibiotic proded pre-operative team once IV antibiotic to the policy was copy of the policy of the provide consinus of the provide consinu	vely as part of the cess has been a give, along where will also be kepted by the cest of t	the WHO surgen secured. The secured in the correct in each theat added to the ered for operation foreign materials be effective as surgical site is as.	gical checklist and guideline with a dose. A laminate in RBHSC apaediatric mice tive procedure aterials are impagainst the aeroe., Gram-position the antimicro	and is given by ill allow the the ated copy of the to facilitate quiceroguide for east that have a holanted.	the atre team ate ck se of igh rate of robic ensals or	

	 Objectives: To decrease the incidence of surgical site infections by providing an effective antibiotic choice appropriate for the surgical procedure To minimise antimicrobial adverse events (i.e wrong dose) To enhance antimicrobial stewardship in RBHSC To improve the quality of antimicrobial prescribing and standardise antibiotic use in RBHSC
	Dissemination: A dissemination and implementation strategy for promotion to users will be planned as required. This will include incorporation into induction programmes, smartphone guideline applications, and updating users at professional meetings (e.g. audit meetings, surgeons' and physicians' meetings).
	Policy will be communicated via dissemination through the paediatric surgical team, micro guide and via posters created by medical illustration.
	There will be no changes to working patterns/service. This is a clarification of appropriate antimicrobial prophylaxis in paediatric surgery.
(1.5) Who owns the policy/proposal? Where does it originate? For example: DoH / HSCB	Director, Child Health & NISTAR, Imaging, Medical Physics, Outpatients and Medical Illustration
(1.6) Who are the main stakeholders affected (Internal and External)? For example: actual or potential service users, carers, staff, other public sector organisations, trade unions, professional bodies, independent, voluntary or community sector or others.	 Actual service users Staff (Surgeons, Anaesthetist's, junior doctors, pharmacists and nurses) Microbiology
(1.7) Provide details of how you involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users, staff side or other stakeholders when screening this policy/proposal.	Each surgical paediatric speciality was approached for their approved choice of antimicrobial for the surgery that they perform. This was cross referenced with paediatric ID and microbiology for appropriate antimicrobial stewardship. Doses +- frequency checked with pharmacy. Administration time of antimicrobials checked with pharmacy and nursing.

(1.8) Other policies/strategies with a bearing on this policy/proposal For example: internal or regional policies	 BHSCT policy guidelines for empirical antibiotic prescribing in hospitalised children 0-14 years in BHSCT (SG 39/14. Quality Improvement and Audit Policy. Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus screening and management of colonised patients.
(1.9) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal? For example: Financial, legislative	Belfast Trust is committed to the full implementation of this policy and through regular monitoring it is anticipated that the aims and objectives of the policy will be fully realised and any factors that could detract from those aims and objectives will be minimised/avoided.

Section 2: Classification of the Policy / Proposal

- The purpose of this Section is to consider the policy/proposal in terms of its **relevance** and likely **impact (actual/potential)** on **equality of opportunity, disability duties, good relations and human rights.**
- To determine the impact (actual and potential) of a policy/procedure on equality of opportunity, disability duties, good relations and human rights please complete the screening questions at 2.1 2.6.

Screening Questions	Yes	No
2.1) Is there an impact on Equality of Opportunity for those affected by this policy, foreach of the S75* equality categories?		✓
(2.2) Are there better opportunities to promote equality of opportunity for people within the S75 categories?		✓
(2.3) Does the policy impact upon Good Relations between people of a different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?		✓

(2.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of a different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?	✓				
(2.5) Are there opportunities to encourage Disabled People to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes toward disabled people? (Disability Duties)	✓				
(2.6) Does the policy/proposal impact on Human Rights?	✓				
*S75 equality categories include: Age, Dependent Status, Disability, Gender, Marital Status Ethnicity, Religion, Political Opinion and Sexual Orientation					
Screening Statement					
If you have answered Yes to <u>any</u> of the above questions complete Sections 3 - 9. <u>OR</u>					
 If you have answered No to <u>all</u> of the above questions the policy may be screened out - go to S 	creening Statement at 2.7.				
N.B: All Staff must complete their mandatory equality, good relations and human rights training or via HRPTS or completed online at www.hsclearning.com . The online programme is called 'Making a Di access a suite of equality and diversity training including: disability awareness, human rights and embra Lesley.Jamieson@belfasttrust.hscni.net for more information.	fference'. Belfast Trust Staff can also				
(2.7) Screening Statement :					
This policy / proposal is 'screened out' on the basis that: (please tick)					
It is a purely clinical or technical nature and has no relevance or impact (actual / potential) in to disability duties, good relations and human rights.	erms of equality of opportunity ,				
It aims to standardise practice and / or achieve best practice based on current evidence.					

Reasonable adjustment accessible/alternative f	s will be made for patients/service users a ormats	s required including any information	n e.g. leaflets / letters in
has a learning disability or is viclick Making Communication A	mats can include, for example, information sually impaired. For advice on making information is a pation, if a pation and written information detail.	ormation accessible and inclusive for ent/service user does not speak En	or disabled patients/service users, aglish as his/her first language, an
Approved Lead Officer: Position: Date:	Neil Dawson Paediatric ID/OPAT Pharmacist 10/10/2023	Countersigned by*: Equality Manager: Date:	Caroline McMenamin 10/10/2023
Please sign / date and forward	to the Equality and Planning Team for cor	sideration - Lesley.Jamieson@belf	asttrust.hscni.net
*Equality screenings are con provided by the Trust's Equa	npleted with information provided by the ality Managers.	e policy / proposal author subjec	t to advice and assistance
Section 3: Consideration	of Equality and Good Relations Is	ssues and Evidence Used	

This section records the quantitative and qualitative data you have used to consider equality and good relations issues including:

- The assessment of impact on staff and service users
- The identification of mitigation factors to reduce/remove any adverse impact
- Opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity

Evidence to help inform the screening process may be quantitative and qualitative. For example: previous consultations and equality impact

assessments (eqias), statistics, research, complaints, feedback, referrals, grievances, inspection reports, focus groups, user groups etc.

(3.1) Quantitative and Qualitative Data: Service Users

Equality Category	Service Users	Quantitative I (2011 Census otherwise state	Data unless	Qualitative Data (Needs, Experiences, Priorities)
		Belfast / Castlereagh population	Service users affected %	
1. Age 2. Dependent Status	0-15 16-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ Caring for a child dependant older person/ person with a disability	22% 11% 12% 14% 14% 15% 12% of usually resident population provide unpaid care - 36% of whom are male and 64% are		
3. Disability	Yes No	female 21% 79%		

4. Gender	Female Male	49% 51%	
5. Marital Status	Married/Civil P'ship Single Other/Not known	34.21% 46.6% 19.19%	
6. Race Ethnicity	White Black/Minority Ethnic	98% 2%	
7. Religion	Roman Catholic	41%	
	Presbyterian Church of Ireland Methodist Other Christian	42%	
	Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other None	17%	

8. Political Opinion Based on Council seats on Belfast City Council, October 2017. Excludes Castlereagh	DUP SF SDLP UUP APNI Green PBP IND PUP	13 19 4 6 8 1 1 5 3 Based on Council seats on Belfast City Council * Excludes Castlereagh			
9. Sexual Orientation	Opposite sex Same sex Same and Opposite sex Do not wish to answer /Not known	Estimated 6- 10% of persons identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual Source: 2012 report by Disability Action & Rainbow Project			

(3.3) Quantitative and Qualitative Data: Staff

This information will be provided together with analysis and advice by the Employment Equality Team in the Human Resources department.

Quantitative Data: For staff data please contact Martin McGrath on 028 95 048353 / martin.mcgrath@belfasttrust.hscni.net

Qualitative Data: Consideration will be given to the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the categories in relation to the policy / proposal.

Should any equality / modernisation related issues arise they will be managed through the Organisational Change Framework. Click here for Framework

When organisational / policy change is necessary, regardless of whether it is a permanent or temporary change, the Trust is committed to treating staff fairly and equitably. Staff can be assured that the change process will be managed. This includes consultation with staff and the opportunity for staff to discuss in one to one meetings, any adverse equality impacts resulting in changes to their employment.

This framework also works alongside other Human Resources policies including for example the Disability and Reasonable Adjustment Framework, the Work Life Balance Policy and Procedure, the Recruitment and Selection Policy and Procedure and Agenda for Change Terms and Conditions Handbook.

Equality	Groups	Quanti	tative Data	Qualitative Data
Category		Belfast	Staff affected	
		Trust	by the	
		workforce	Policy/Proposal	
		(@January	%	
		2019)		
1.	16-24	4%		
	25-34	24%		
Age	35-44	25%		
	45-54	26%		
	55-64	18%		
	65+	3%		

2.			
Dependant Status	Dependants No Dependants Not known	20% 16% 64%	
3.			
Disability	Yes No Not known	2% 63% 35%	
4.			
Gender	Female Male	77% 23%	
5.			
Marital Status	Married/ Civil P'ship Single Other/ Not known	52% 32% 16%	
6. Race			
a) Ethnicity	BME White Not Known	4% 72% 25%	

b) Nationality	GB Irish Northern Irish Other Not known	18% 11% 2% 1% 68%	
7. Religion a) Community Background	Protestant Roman Catholic Neither	40% 49% 11%	
b) Religious Belief	Christian Other No religious belief Not known	28% 1% 9% 62%	
8. Political Opinion * 2011 Assembly election	Broadly Nationalist Broadly Unionist Other Do not wish to answer/ Unknown Not known	6% 7% 8% 79%	

Opposite sex	41%			
Same sex or both				
sexes	2%			
Do not wish to				
answer	57%			
1	Same sex or both sexes Do not wish to	Same sex or both sexes 2% Do not wish to	Same sex or both sexes 2% Do not wish to	Same sex or both sexes 2% Do not wish to

Section 4: Consideration of Impacts, Mitigation, Alternative Policies / Proposals

Given the **evidence** gathered in Section 3 please identify for each of the **nine equality categories** the level **of impact, mitigation measures** and **alternative** policies / proposals that better **promote equality of opportunity**.

(4.1) SERVICE USERS

Equality Category	Le	vel of Imp	act	Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact			
Major Minor None		None	(where Major or Minor Impact identified)				
Age							
Dependant Status							
Disability							
Gender							
Marital Status							
Race (Ethnicity)							
Religion							

Political (Opinion				
Sexual O	rientation				
disabled m	dentity e.g. inority ethnic roung Protestant				
(4.2) STA	FF				
Equality (Category	Lev	el of Imp	act	Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact
		Major	Minor	None	(where Major or Minor Impact identified)
Age					
Dependar	nt Status				
Disability					
Gender					
Marital St	atus				
Race	Ethnicity				
	Nationality				
Religion	Community Background				
	Religious Belief				


Political Opinion		
Sexual Orientation		
Multiple Identity e.g. female staff with caring responsibilities		

Section 5: Good Relations

Based on the evidence collected in Section 3 & 4:

- To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to **impact Good Relations** i.e. between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?
- Are there any additional measures that could be suggested to ensure the policy or proposal promotes Good Relations?

Good Relations category	Level of impact		act	Mitigation Measures and Alternative Policies or Actions that might lessen the severity of the equality impact		
	Major	Minor	None	(where Major or Minor Impact identified)		
Religious belief				(where major or minor impact identified)		
Political opinion						
Racial group						



Section 7: Human Rights

training.

For example, have staff received disability equality

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust is committed to providing the highest attainable standard of health within our resources.

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact (Human Right has been interfered with or restricted)	Neutral impac
A2: Right to life			
A3: Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			
A4: Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			
A5: Right to liberty & security of person			
A6: Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			
A7: Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			
A8: Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			
A9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			
A10: Right to freedom of expression			
A11: Right to freedom of assembly & association			
A12: Right to marry & found a family			
A14: Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			
1st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			
Please outline: any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and • evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.			

Section 8: Screening Decision	Major	Minor	None

(8.1) How would you categorise the impacts of this policy / pr (Please underline one category)	oposal?		(Screened In for an Equality Impact Assessment)	(Screened Out with mitigation)	(Screened Out)
(8.2) If you have identified any impact, what mitigation have you considered to address this?					
(8.3) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to on-going screening?	Yes	No	Reasons		
(8.4) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)? NB: A full Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) is usually confined to those policies or proposals considered to have major implications for equality of opportunity/good relations/human	Yes	No	Reasons		
rights. Section 9: Monitoring (9.1) Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for impact in terms of equality of opportunity, good relations, disability duties and human rights?					

Please sign /date and forward to the Equality and Planning Team for consideration - <u>Lesley.Jamieson@belfasttrust.hscni.net</u>.

Equality screenings are completed with information provided by the policy / proposal author subject to advice and assistance from the Trust's Equality Managers.

Please note that Comple	eted and Signed Screening Templates	are public documents and are po	osted on the Trust's website.
Approved Lead Officer		Countersigned by:	
Position		Equality Manager	
Date		Employment Equality Manager	